JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY 47, 122-131 (1986)

On Exponential Splines

MANABU SAKAI AND RIAZ A. USMANI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima 890, Japan

Communicated by R. Bojanic

Received August 6, 1984; revised December 24, 1984

1. INTRODUCTION AND DESCRIPTION OF B-SPLINES

Among the various classes of splines, the polynomial spline has been received the greatest attention primarly because it admits a basis of *B*-splines which can be accurately and efficiently computed. Recently it has been shown that trigonometric and hyperbolic splines also admit *B*-spline bases ([1, 2]).

The object of the present paper is to give *B*-spline bases for hyperbolic and trigonometric splines which are little different from the hyperbolic and trigonometric ones in [1, 2]. Throughout this paper, we assume that *m* is a natural number and λ is a positive parameter. First we consider a sequence $\{d_{m,i}\}$ defined by

$$d_{m,j} = d_{m-1,j} + d_{m-1,j-1}, \qquad d_{m,0} = d_{m,m} = 1 \qquad (m \ge 3)$$

$$d_{m,j} = 0 \qquad (j \le -1 \text{ and } j \ge m+1) \qquad (1.1)$$

$$d_{2,0} = 1, \qquad d_{2,1} = 2 \cosh \lambda, \qquad d_{2,2} = 1.$$

By a simple calculation, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} d_{m,j} = \binom{m}{j}.$$
 (1.2)

Now, by making use of the constant $d_{m,j}$, we may define a hyperbolic *B*-spline $Q_{m+1,\lambda}$ of degree *m*:

for *m* odd:

$$Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{j} d_{m+1,j} (1/\lambda^{m}) [\sinh \lambda(x-j)_{+} \\ \cdots \lambda(x-j)_{+} \cdots \cdots \frac{\{\lambda(x-j)_{+}\}^{m-2}}{(m-2)!}];$$
(1.3)

0021-9045/86 \$3.00

Copyright () 1986 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. for *m* even:

$$Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)^j d_{m+1,j}(1/\lambda^m) \left[\cosh \lambda (x-j)_+ -1 - \dots - \frac{\{\lambda (x-j)_+\}^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \right].$$
 (1.4)

Here we shall prove that the hyperbolic *B*-spline $Q_{m+1,\lambda}$ is characterized by a convolution process of an exponential function ϕ_{λ} and a characteristic function χ on [0, 1), where

$$\phi_{\lambda}(x) = e^{\lambda x} \quad (0 \le x < 1) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \quad (\text{otherwise})$$

$$\chi(x) = 1 \quad (0 \le x < 1) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \quad (\text{otherwise}). \quad (1.5)$$

THEOREM 1.

$$Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = \underbrace{(\chi^*\chi^*\cdots^*\chi^*\phi_{\lambda} * \phi_{-\lambda})(x)}_{m-1}$$
(1.6)

where * means the convolution of two functions, i.e.,

$$(f^*g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) g(x-t) dt.$$

Proof. By the definition of $d_{m,j}$, we have

$$Q'_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = Q_{m,\lambda}(x) - Q_{m,\lambda}(x-1).$$
(1.7)

Since $Q_{m+1,\lambda}(0) = 0$, from above we obtain

$$Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = \int_{x-1}^{x} Q_{m,\lambda}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{1} \chi(t) Q_{m,\lambda}(x-t) dt$$

= $(\chi^{*}Q_{m,\lambda})(x) = \cdots = \underbrace{(\chi^{*}\chi^{*}\cdots^{*}\chi^{*}Q_{2,\lambda})(x)}_{m-1}.$ (1.8)

By a simple calculation, we have

$$\lambda Q_{2,\lambda}(x) = \sinh \lambda x_{+} - \cosh \lambda \sinh \lambda (x-1)_{+}$$

$$+ \sinh \lambda (x-2)_{+}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sinh \lambda x & (0 \le x \le 1) \\ \sinh \lambda (2-x) & (1 \le x \le 2) \end{cases}$$

$$= \lambda (\phi_{\lambda} * \phi_{-\lambda})(x). \qquad (1.9)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Next we shall give a trigonometric *B*-spline $\tilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}$ by replacing the parameter λ in the definition of the hyperbolic *B*-spline $Q_{m+1,\lambda}$ by $i\lambda$ $(i = \sqrt{-1})$:

for *m* odd:

$$\widetilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = (-1)^{(1/2)(m-1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{j} \widetilde{d}_{m+1,j}(1/\lambda^{m}) \left[\sin \lambda(x-j)_{+} - \lambda(x-j)_{+} - \cdots - (-1)^{(1/2)(m+1)} \frac{\{\lambda(x-j)_{+}\}^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \right];$$
(1.10)

for *m* even:

$$\tilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = (-1)^{(1/2)m} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} (-1)^{j} \tilde{d}_{m+1,j}(1/\lambda^{m}) \left[\cos \lambda(x-j)_{+} -1 - \cdots - (-1)^{(1/2)(m+2)} \frac{\{\lambda(x-j)_{+}\}^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \right]$$
(1.11)

where $\{\tilde{d}_{m,j}\}$ is defined by the same recursion formula (1.1) with $2 \cos \lambda$ in the definition of $\tilde{d}_{2,2}$.

Similarly as in the hyperbolic B-spline, we have:

THEOREM 2.

$$\widetilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = \underbrace{(\chi^*\chi^*\cdots*\chi^*Q_{i\lambda}*\phi_{-i\lambda})(x)}_{m-1}, \qquad (1.12)$$

where

$$(\phi_{i\lambda} * \phi_{-i\lambda})(x) = \sin \lambda x / \lambda \qquad (0 \le x \le 1)$$

= sin $\lambda (2 - x) / \lambda \qquad (1 \le x \le 2).$ (1.13)

By making use of Theorems 1 and 2, we may easily have the properties of these hyperbolic and trigonometric *B*-splines similar to those of the polynomial ones ([3]). In addition, we have the following theorems that imply 1, $x,..., x^{m-2}$, $\cosh \lambda x$ and $\sinh \lambda x \in \text{Span}\{Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j)\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$.

THEOREM 3. For $m \ge 2$,

$$1, x, ..., x^{m-2} \in \text{Span} \{ Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j) \}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}.$$
 (1.14)

Proof. By the definition of the characteristic function, we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(x-j) = 1.$$
 (1.15)

A successive convolution of this partition of unity and $\chi,...,\chi,\phi_{\lambda}$ and $\phi_{-\lambda}$ yields

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j) = (1 * \chi * \chi * \cdots * \chi * \phi_{\lambda} * \phi_{-\lambda})(x)$$
$$= \{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)/(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)\}^{2} \qquad (m \ge 2).$$
(1.16)

In addition, since j - (j - 1) = 1, from above we have

$$\{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)/(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)\}^{2}$$

$$=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}jQ_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j)-\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}(j-1)Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j)$$

$$=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}j\{Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j)-Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j-1)\}$$

$$=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}jQ'_{m+2,\lambda}(x-j).$$
(1.17)

Integration of the above equation from 0 to x gives

$$\sum_{j=\dots\infty}^{\infty} jQ_{m+2,\lambda}(x-j) - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} jQ_{m+2,\lambda}(-j)$$
$$= \{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)/(\frac{1}{2}\lambda))\}^2 x \qquad (1.18)$$

where

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{m+2,\lambda}(-j)$$

= $-\{Q_{m+2,\lambda}(1) + 2Q_{m+2,\lambda}(2) + \dots + (m+1)Q_{m+2,\lambda}(m+1)\}$
= $-(\frac{1}{2}m+1)\{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)/(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)\}^2.$ (1.19)

During the above computation, we used

$$Q_{m+2,\lambda}(j) = Q_{m+2,\lambda}(m+2-j)$$

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{m+2,\lambda}(x-j) = \{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)/(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)\}^2.$$
(1.20)

640/47/2.3*

Thus we have for $m \ge 2$

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(j + \frac{1}{2}m + 1 \right) Q_{m+2,\lambda}(x-j) = \left\{ \sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda) / (\frac{1}{2}\lambda) \right\}^2 x \quad (1.21)$$

i.e.,

$$x \in \operatorname{Span}\{Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j)\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \quad \text{(for } m \ge 3\text{)}. \tag{1.22}$$

By making use of a simple identity:

$$k\prod_{p=0}^{k-2} (j+p) = \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} (j+p) - \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} (j+p-1)$$
(1.23)

i.e.,

$$2j = (j+1) j - j(j-1)$$

3(j+1) j = (j+2)(j+1) j - (j+1) j(j-1)
...,

inductively we have the desired result.

THEOREM 4. For $m \ge 2$,

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{m,\lambda}(x-j) \cosh \lambda (j+\frac{1}{2}m)$$

$$= \left[\left\{ (2/\lambda) \sinh \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right\}^{m-3} \cosh \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right] \cosh \lambda x$$

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{m,\lambda}(x-j) \sinh \lambda (j+\frac{1}{2}m)$$

$$= \left[\left\{ (2/\lambda) \sinh \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right\}^{m-3} \cosh \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right] \sinh \lambda x.$$
(1.24)

Proof. For m = 2, by an elementary computation we have the above relations. Multiplying the first relation by $2 \sinh \frac{1}{2} \lambda$, we have for $m \ge 3$

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q'_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j) \sinh \lambda \{j + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)\}$$
$$= \{(2/\lambda) \sinh \frac{1}{2}\lambda\}^{m-3} 2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}\lambda \cos \frac{1}{2}\lambda \cosh \lambda x \qquad (1.25)$$

where

$$Q'_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = Q_{m,\lambda}(x) - Q_{m,\lambda}(x-1).$$

126

Integration of the above equation from 0 to x yields

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j) \sinh \lambda \{j+\frac{1}{2}(m+1)\} + c$$
$$= \{(2/\lambda) \sinh \frac{1}{2}\lambda\}^{m-2} \cosh \frac{1}{2}\lambda \sinh \lambda x.$$
(1.26)

Here we shall prove the above constant c to be zero. By Theorem 1, we obtain

$$Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = \int_{x-2}^{x} Q_{m-1}(t) \,\psi(x-t) \,dt \tag{1.27}$$

where

$$Q_{m-1}(x) = \underbrace{(\chi^*\chi^*\cdots^*\chi)}_{m-1}(x),$$

i.e., Q_{m-1} is the polynomial *B*-spline of degree m-2, and $\psi(x) = (\phi_{\lambda} * \phi_{-\lambda})(x)$. From (1.27), by a simple calculation we get

$$(D^{2} - \lambda^{2}) Q_{m+1,\lambda}(x) = Q_{m-1,\lambda}(x) - 2 \cosh \lambda Q_{m-1,\lambda}(x-1) + Q_{m-1,\lambda}(x-2) \qquad (m \ge 3).$$
(1.28)

Operating the differential operator $(D^2 - \lambda^2)$ to the both sides of (1.26), we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\sinh \lambda \{ (j + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)) \} - 2 \cosh \lambda \sinh \lambda \{ (j-1 + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)) \} + \sinh \lambda \{ (j-2 + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)) \} \right] \times Q_{m-1,\lambda}(x-j) - c\lambda^2 = 0.$$
(1.29)

Since the coefficient of $Q_{m-1,\lambda}(x-j)$ is identically zero, we have the desired second relation with *m* replaced by m+1. Similarly we have the first relation with m+1 from the second with *m*.

For $\tilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}(x)$, we have

j

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j) = \{\sin(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)/(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)\}^2 \qquad (m \ge 2)$$
(1.30)

from which follows

$$1 \in \operatorname{Span} \{ \tilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j) \}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \quad (m \ge 2)$$

for $\lambda \ne 2k\pi \quad (k=1, 2,...).$ (1.31)

In addition, as in the proof of Theorem 3 we have

$$x, x^{2}, ..., x^{m-2} \in \text{Span}\{\tilde{Q}_{m+1,\lambda}(x-j)\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

for $\lambda \neq 2k\pi$ $(k = 1, 2, ...).$ (1.32)

For $\tilde{Q}_{m,\lambda}(x)$ $(m \ge 2)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}_{m,\lambda}(x-j) \cos \lambda (j+\frac{1}{2}m)$$

$$= \left[\left\{ (2/\lambda) \sin \frac{1}{2} \lambda \right\}^{m-3} \cos \frac{1}{2} \lambda \right] \cos \lambda x$$

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{Q}_{m,\lambda}(x-j) \sin \lambda (j+\frac{1}{2}m)$$

$$= \left[\left\{ (2/\lambda) \sin \frac{1}{2} \lambda \right\}^{m-3} \cos \frac{1}{2} \lambda \right] \sin \lambda x$$
(1.33)

from which follows

$$\cos \lambda x \text{ and } \sin \lambda x \in \text{Span} \{ \tilde{Q}_{m,\lambda} (x-j) \}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \quad (m \ge 2)$$

for $\lambda \ne k\pi \quad (k=1, 2,...).$ (1.34)

2. AN APPLICATION OF A HYPERBOLIC SPLINE OF DEGREE 4 TO A NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF A SIMPLE PERTURBATION PROBLEM

We are concerned with numerical solution of a simple singular perturbation problem:

$$\varepsilon y''(x) - y(x) = g(x) \qquad (0 \le x \le 1)$$
 (2.1)

$$y(0) = \alpha, \qquad y(1) = \beta \tag{2.2}$$

with $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Miller has proposed and proved the convergence, uniformly in ε , of the difference scheme:

$$\varepsilon \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{2}\lambda}{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)} \right\}^2 \frac{(y_{j+1} - 2y_j + y_{j-1})}{h^2} - y_j = g_j$$

$$(1 \le j \le n-1) \qquad (2.3)$$

$$y_0 = \alpha, \qquad y_n = \beta \qquad \text{with} \quad \lambda = h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$
 (2.4)

where for a natural number n, h = 1/n and $g_j = g(jh)$.

Now, by making use of the hyperbolic *B*-spline $Q_{5,\lambda}$ of degree 4 we consider a spline function of the form

$$s(x) = \sum_{j=+4}^{n-1} \alpha_j Q_{5,\lambda}(x/h-j), \qquad \lambda = h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$
(2.5)

with undetermined coefficients $(\alpha_{-4}, \alpha_{-3}, ..., \alpha_{n-1})$. The above s(x) will be an approximate solution if it satisfies

$$\varepsilon s_j'' - s_j = g_j \qquad (1 \le j \le n-1) \tag{2.6}$$

$$s_0 = \alpha, \qquad s_n = \beta. \tag{2.7}$$

In order to transform the above collocation method (2.6)-(2.7) to a difference method, we shall require the following consistency relation obtained by use of the similar technique for the polynomial spline:

$$(\#) h^{-2}(a_{2,4}s_{j-1} + a_{2,3}s_j + a_{2,2}s_{j+1} + s_{2,1}s_{j+2}) = (a_{0,4}s_{j-1}'' + a_{0,3}s_j'' + a_{0,2}s_{j+1}'' + a_{0,1}s_{j+2}'')$$
(2.8)

where $a_{k,j} = Q_{5,\lambda}^{(k)}(j) \ (1 \le k \le 3).$

Since $Q_{5,\lambda}^{(k)}(j) = Q_{5,\lambda}^{(k)}(5-j)$ (k=0,2), the above relation (#) at consecutive four mesh points is reduced to a short term relation at consecutive three mesh points, by making an alternating sum of (#) obtained by writing down (#), subtracting (#) with *j* replaced by j+1, adding (#) with *j* replaced by j+2 and so on. That is,

$$h^{-2}\mu(\lambda)(s_{j+1} - 2s_j + s_{j-1}) = s_{j+1}'' + \{\mu(\lambda) - 2\} s_j'' + s_{j-1}''$$
(2.9)

where

$$\mu(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^2 (\cosh \lambda - 1)}{\cosh \lambda - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2} > 12.$$
(2.10)

By means of this short term consistency relation, the collocation method (2.6)-(2.7) is equivalent to a difference method:

$$\epsilon \mu \frac{(s_{j+1} - 2s_j + s_{j-1})}{h^2} - \{s_{j+1} + (\mu - 2) s_j + s_{j-1}\}$$

= $g_{j+1} + (\mu - 2) g_j + g_{j-1}$ (1 $\leq j \leq n-1$) (2.11)

$$s_0 = \alpha, \qquad s_n = \beta \qquad \text{with} \quad \mu = \mu(h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}). \tag{2.12}$$

TABLE (
$$\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$$
)

Observed Errors at Mesh Points in Collocation Method (2.11)–(2.12) and Miller's Difference Method (2.3)–(2.4)

$\frac{\text{Method}}{x \setminus h}$	Collocation		Difference	
	1/20	1/40	1/20	1/40
0.05	0.922-5*	0.955-6	-0.301-1	-0.840-2
0.1	0.790-5	0.818-6	-0.132-2	-0.181-3
0.2	0.302-5	0.313-6	-0.310-5	-0.120-5
0.3	-0.3.2-5	-0.313-6	-0.498-5	-0.125-5
0.4	-0.790-5	-0.818-6	-0.130-4	-0.367-5
0.5	-0.977-5	-0.101-5	-0.161-4	-0.404-5

* We denote 0.922×10^{-5} by 0.922-5, and the errors mean (exact values) – (approximate values).

The solution of (2.1)–(2.2) would be dominated by terms of $e^{\pm x/\sqrt{\epsilon}}$, and so in order to derive a lumped mass system of the above difference scheme we let

$$(s_{j+1}+g_{j+1})+(s_{j-1}+g_{j-1})$$

$$\cong (e^{h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}+e^{-h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}})\times(s_j+g_j)=2\cosh(h/\sqrt{\varepsilon})(s_j+g_j). \quad (2.13)$$

Since

$$\mu(h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}) - 2 + 2\cosh(h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}) = \left\{\frac{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}\lambda)}{\frac{1}{2}\lambda}\right\}^2 \mu(h/\sqrt{\varepsilon}), \qquad (2.14)$$

we have a lumped mass system of (2.6)-(2.7) which is identical with the above Miller's difference scheme (2.3)-(2.4).

Now we consider an application of the difference scheme (2.11)–(2.12) to a simple two point boundary value problem:

$$\varepsilon y'' - y = \cos^2 \pi x + 2\pi^2 \varepsilon \cos 2\pi x, \qquad y(0) = y(1) = 0.$$
 (2.15)

The exact solution is given by

$$y(x) = \frac{\exp((x-1)/\sqrt{\varepsilon}) + \exp(-x/\sqrt{\varepsilon})}{1 + \exp(-1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})} - \cos^2 \pi x.$$

ON EXPONENTIAL SPLINES

REFERENCES

- 1. T. LYCHE AND R. WINTHER, A stable recurrence relation for trigonometric B-splines, J. Approx. Theory 25 (1979), 266-279.
- 2. L. L. SCHUMAKER, On hyperbolic splines, J. Approx. Theory 38 (1983), 144-166.
- 3. L. L. SCHUMAKER, "Spline Functions: Basic Theory," Wiley, New York, 1981.
- J. J. MILLER, On the convergence, uniformly in ε, of difference schemes for a two point boundary singular perturbation problem, *in* "Proceedings, Conf., Nijmegen," Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- 5. J. STOFR AND R. BULIRSCH, "Introduction to Numerical Analysis," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/ New York/Heidelberg, 1979.